

**OFFICIAL**

PAGE 01

**RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER**
**AUG 19 2004****Yee &  
Associates, P.C.**13760 Noel Road  
Suite 900  
Dallas, Texas 75240Main No. (972) 367-2001  
Facsimile (972) 367-2008**Facsimile Cover Sheet**

To: Commissioner for Patents for <b>Examiner Thomas J. Lett</b> <b>Group Art Unit 2626</b>	Facsimile No.: <b>703/872-9306</b>
From: Carrie Parker Legal Assistant to James O. Skarsten	No. of Pages Including Cover Sheet: <b>3</b>
Message:	
Enclosed herewith:	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Statement of Substance of Interview; and</li> <li>• Interview Summary dated August 11, 2004.</li> </ul>	
Re: Application No. <b>09/725,350</b> Attorney Docket No: <b>AUS9-2000-0435-US1</b>	
Date: Tuesday, August 17, 2004	
<b>Please contact us at (972) 367-2001 if you do not receive all pages indicated above or experience any difficulty in receiving this facsimile.</b>	<i>This Facsimile is intended only for the use of the addressee and, if the addressee is a client or their agent, contains privileged and confidential information. If you are not the intended recipient of this facsimile, you have received this facsimile inadvertently and in error. Any review, dissemination, distribution, or copying is strictly prohibited. If you received this facsimile in error, please notify us by telephone and return the facsimile to us immediately.</i>

**PLEASE CONFIRM RECEIPT OF THIS TRANSMISSION  
BY FAXING A CONFIRMATION TO 972-367-2008.**

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

AUG 19 2004

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application: Bhogal et al.

§

§

§

§

Serial No.: 09/725,350

Group Art Unit: 2626

Filed: November 29, 2000

Examiner: Lett, Thomas J.

For: Priority Printing

Attorney Docket No.: AUS9-2000-0435-US1

Certificate of Transmission Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.8(a)  
I hereby certify this correspondence is being transmitted via  
facsimile to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450,  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, facsimile number (703) 872-  
9306, on August 19, 2004.

By: Carrie Parker  
Carrie Parker

STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Applicants consider that the substance of the interview on August 11, 2004,  
regarding the patent application identified above, is accurately set forth in the Interview  
Summary of the Examiner, a copy of which is attached for reference.

DATE: August 19, 2004

Respectfully submitted,

James O. Skarsten

James O. Skarsten  
Reg. No. 28,346  
Yee & Associates, P.C.  
P.O. Box 802333  
Dallas, TX 75380  
(972) 367-2001  
Attorney for Applicants

Page 1 of 1  
Bhogal et al. - 09/725,350

<b>Interview Summary</b>	Application No. 09/725,350	Applicant(s) BHOHAL ET AL.
	Examiner Thomas J. Lett	Art Unit 2626

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Thomas J. Lett.

(3) James O. Skersten.

(2) Mark Wallerson.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 11 August 2004.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 13 and 14.

Identification of prior art discussed: US Patent 5,327,526 (Nomura et al).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The rejection under 102b was discussed. Mr. Skersten proposed the incorporation of a limitation of claim 14 into independent claim 13 to overcome examiner's rejection. Examiner maintains that Nomura et al teaches of an operator/network user having the ability to change the priority of a print job.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.

  
Examiner's signature, if required

Best Available Copy